such cases of inclusions in the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes lists. After the report of the Committee is received appropriate decisions would be taken by the Government. At this stage it is very difficult to give a definite time frame for the decision keeping in view the volume of work involved as well as also being very complex and sensitive.

(d) As per Constitutional provisions laid down under Article 341 of the Constitution any amendment in the existing Scheduled Caste lists can be made by an Act of Parliament. Castes which fulfil the criteria for inclusion in Scheduled Caste lists are considered for inclusion after taking into account the recommendation of the concerned State Governments/U.T. Administrations and other specialised agencies.

Probe into Skipper Scandal

*259. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:
SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the report published in the 'Times of India' of November 30, 1994 under the caption "SC orders 3 probes into Skipper scandal";

(b) if so, what are the facts and necessary details in this regard;

(c) what are the details of the land allotted/purchased in auction by the said company in Delhi; what is the cost, area and terms and conditions of each plot;

(d) what is the number of storeys sanctioned as per plan of each plot and how many storeys were actually built on each plot;

(e) the number of flats built in each storey and at what cost each of these flats was disposed of;

(f) what are the details of arrears and irregularities and violation of Building Bye-laws and other terms and conditions of DDA/NDMC committed by the said company in the construction of multi storey building on each plot; and

(g) what action Government have taken in respect of the said irregularities and violation of Building Bye-laws and unauthorised construction carried out by the said company and for the recovery of arrears?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SHEILA KAUL): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The Delhi Development Authority has reported that M/s. Skipper Construction Co (P) Ltd. purchased the leasehold rights of the Tower Plot at Jhandewalan in the open auction held on 8-10-80 for a sum of Rs. 9.82 crores. 25% of the bid amount was paid at the fall of hammer and the remaining 75% was required to be paid by 13-1-1981, i.e. within 90 days of the issue of the demand letter. The auction-purchaser, however, failed to deposit the demanded amount within the stipulated time and requested for facility of payment in instalment. After detailed examination of the case at various levels, it was decided in DDA on 25-8-1984 to handover the possession of the plot to the auction-purchaser on receipt of 50% of the total premium and the remaining 50% was allowed to be paid in 5 equated half yearly instalments of Rs. 1,94,36,900 each. Accordingly, the auction-purchaser having paid 50% premium of the plot, furnished a bank guarantee and executed agreement and licence deed. Thereupon, the possession of the plot was handed over to the auction purchaser on 11-8-1987 on a licence basis to commence the construction on the plot. But the auction-purchaser failed to pay the amount of instalments within the stipulated period and moved the High Court for deferment of the instalments. The High Court, vide Order dated 21-12-1990 dismissed the Writ with the direction to the auction-purchaser to pay the entire amount within a period of 30 days, failing which DDA was authorised to forefit the entire amount and re-enter upon the building and take over possession. Aggrieved with this decision of the High Court, the auction-purchaser filed an S.L.P. in the Supreme Court of India. The Supreme Court of India dismissed the S.L.P. and consequently, the entire amount stood forfeited, and the plot, together with the building standing thereon, was reentered upon and physical possession resumed on 10-2-1993. Thereafter, the auction-purchaser filed a suit against the DDA in the High Court and the High Court stayed the auction of the Tower Plot. DDA filed an S.L.P. against the said orders in the Supreme Court of India and the Supreme Court allowed DDA to go ahead with the auction of the Tower Plot subject to confirmation of the same by Court. Accordingly, tenders were invited and the highest offer of Rs. 70.10 crores of M/s. Banganga Investments Ltd. has been confirmed by the Supreme Court vide their judgement dated 29-11-1994. For other issues, the Court has appointed three Commissions, one headed by Mr. Justice R. C. Lahoti, Judge of the High Court of Delhi, to adjudicate the pending claims of a large number of investors who had paid large sums to the construction company for commercial flat; another headed by Shri E. Easwaran, former Secretary, Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance to investigate the conduct of the officers of Canara Bank and Punjab National Bank, who had advanced loans worth crores of rupees to the construction company in the form of Bank guarantees; and a third headed by former Supreme Court Judge Mr. Justice O. Chinappa Reddy to look into the conduct of the DDA's former ex-officio

Chairman and its officers in handing over the possession of the plot to the construction company.

(c) Besides the Tower Plot at Jhandewalan, position of which been explained at (a) above, M/s. Skipper Construction Co. (P) Ltd., purchased leasehold rights in the following two commercial plots from DDA :—

Sl. No.	Plot No.	Area in sq. mtr.	Date of Auction	Bid amount	No. of storeys
1	88 Nehru Place	445.93	15-10-79	63.11 lacs	6
2	6 Rajindra Place	557.42	17-6-76	60.50 lacs.	

As per the terms & conditions of auction, the possession of the plot was to be handed over to the auction-purchaser after receipt of the full premium of the plot. The auction-purchaser is required to construct the building after getting the building plans sanctioned from the Delhi Development Authority/local body.

(d) to (g) JHANDEWALAN TOWER PLOT :

In the case of the Jhandewalan Tower Plot, the sanctioned plans provided for two basements and 14 floors and terrace plan. The total floor area sanctioned was 19,715.96 sq. mtrs. (excluding the area of basement). At site, 2 basements and 14 floors were constructed and the portion sanctioned on terrace floor was not constructed. M/s. Skipper Construction Company (P) Ltd. had constructed no flats on any of the floors in Tower Building at Jhandewalan except the 25 shops and 2 halls on ground floor. The violation noticed during the course of construction was that the cut-outs to be left at the floors were not provided and public passages on the ground floors were found blocked. Action for unauthorised construction for covering the cut-outs and blockage of public passage or ground floor was initiated by the Building Department of DDA. But since the licence was cancelled/revoked under orders of the Supreme Court, no further action was contemplated.

No amount towards arrear of premium is outstanding against the said Company in the two plots purchased by them at Nehru Place and Rajindra Place. However, the position of arrears of ground rent, excluding interest on delayed payment of ground rent is as under :—

(a) Rs. 15.17 lacs towards the plot at Nehru Place.

(b) Rs. 19.05 lacs towards the plot at Rajindra Place.

Due to failure on the part the auction-purchaser to pay the ground rent. Non-recovery Certificate has been issued and proceedings have been initiated under the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887.

P. NO. 88 NEHRU PLACE, NEW DELHI

The building plans of this property were sanctioned for the construction of multi-storeyed building consisting of basement, ground floor, first floor, second floor, third floor, fourth floor, fifth floor and terrace (machine room and mumty). Existing number of storeys are also i.e. six + basement + terrace (mumty and machine room), with details of areas as given below :—

Floor	Permissible	Sanctioned	Existing
	(sq. ft.)		
Basement .	4800	4800	4800
Ground Floor	4800	4800	4800
First Floor	4800	4800	4800
Second Floor	4800	4755	4755
Third Floor	4800	4755	4755
Fourth Floor	4800	4755	4755
Fifth Floor	4800	4755	4755
Terrace. . (Mumty & Machine Room)	400	400	786.03

As reported by MCD, the number of flats sanctioned and built are as follows :

Floor	Sanctioned	Built
1. Basement	Hall for storage and electric sub-station	Godown-one Eleciric sub-station room/ shops-six
2. Ground Floor	Shops of different sizes-8	Shops of different sizes-12

Floor	Sanctioned	Built
3. First Floor	Shops-three Office-two Restaurant-one Kitchen-one Pantry-one Store-one	Shops-13
4. Second Floor	Offices-nine Stores-three	Offices-six Stores-three
5. Third Floor	—do—	Offices-11 Store-one
6. Fourth & Fifth Floor	—do—	Offices-10
7. Terrace	Machine room -one Water storage Tank-one Mumty-one	Machine room -one Water storage Tank-one Mumty-one

The cost at which the builder sold the flats/shops etc. to private parties/persons is not known to the Municipal Corporation of Delhi.

As per the record available the violation/irregularities committed are as under:

(i) In the basement several shops have been provided against sanctioned use as storage.

(ii) Lifts are opening in the basement.

(iii) Area of Mumty, machine room and water storage tank has been increased and consequent increase in the FAR.

(iv) Water storage tank has been constructed abutting the parapet wall thereby effecting the controlled elevation of the building.

The construction of the building was completed in the year 1985 and the completion certificate was applied for on 1-8-1985 in DDA. The DDA did not issue the completion certificates due to the irregularities and other short comings.

The record has been transferred from DDA to MCD on 23-11-1993. Action for irregularities mentioned in above paras is being taken by MCD as per provision of DMC Act.

6. RAJINDRA PLACE, NEW DELHI

The building plans of the property were sanctioned for construction of a multi-storeyed building consisting of 14 storeys with basement and mumty on terrace. Existing number of storeyes are also the same i.e. basement+13 storeys and terrace (machine room and mumty). The details of areas are given as below:—

Floor	Permissible	Sanctioned	Existing
		(Sq. ft.)	
Basement	6075	5972.62	6075
Ground Floor (on stilts) Enclosed Portion	6075	1182.79	1748.96
First Floor	6075	5733.56	5966.25
Second Floor to Ninth Floor	6075	5870.25	5966.25
Tenth Floor to 13th Floor	6075	5090.63	5966.25
Terrace-Machine Room		445.00	
Mumty		250.25	
F. A. R.	74259.00 S. ft.	74240.83 S. ft.	79309.94 S.ft.

As per records the number of flats sanctioned and built under :—

Floor	Sanctioned	Existing
1. Basement	For Scooter & Cycle parking	Being used for commercial purpose
2. Ground Floor	Stilt portion for Parking	Parking space-2 No. Store-1 Rooms-1 Transformer Room-1 Entire ground floor is being used for offices.
3. First floor to Tenth Floor	Offices-10	Office Block with partitions-1
4. 11th floor to 13 th floor	Offices-6	—do—
5. Terrace Machine Room-1 Mumty-1 Overhead Tank-2		Machine Room-1 Mumty-1 Overhead Tank-1

As per record available the violations/ irregularities are as under :—

(i) Ht. of Mumty has been raised by 2'3" and new slab has been casted adjoining to machine room.

(ii) Intermediate slab on T-Iron & Steel Girder has been casted.

(iii) Ground floor is being used as Office and Store in place of parking.

(iv) Stair cases have been provided to approach the intermediate floor.

(v) Basement is being used for commercial purposes.

The cost at which the builder sold the flats/shops etc. to private parties/persons is not known to the Municipal Corporation of Delhi.

The construction of building was completed in the year 1981 and the completion certificate was applied for on 26-6-1981 in DDA. The DDA did not issue the completion certificate due to the irregularities and the shortcomings. The record has been transferred from DDA to MCD on 15-12-1993.

Action against violations mentioned above is being initiated by the MCD as per the provisions of DMC Act.

Integrated Education Scheme for the Disabled

*260 SHRI IQBAL SINGH : Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the answer to unstarred Question 743 given in the Rajya Sabha on 30th July, 1993 and state the State-wise details of Central assistance provided to the States particularly for the State of Punjab during the year 1993-94 and likely to be provided in 1994-95 under this scheme ?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ARJUN SINGH) : The financial assistance provided to the State Governments/Union Territory Administrations during 1993-94 for implementation of the Centrally Sponsored Scheme of Integrated Education for the Disabled Children (IEDC) is as follows :

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Name of the State/Union Territory	Grant released during 1993-94 under the IEDC Scheme.
	STATES	
1	Andhra Pradesh	14.01
2	Himachal Pradesh	6.34
3	Karnataka	4.19
4	Kerala	111.58 13.70
5	Madhya pradesh	2.95 (v.o.)
6	Manipur	22.40
7	Maharashtra	75.53
8	Mizoram	1.92
9	Nagaland	5.74
10	Orissa	68.92
11	Rajasthan	85.35
12	Tamilnadu	5.32